



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,846	10/13/2000	Cher Esque	3993968-128859	3993968-128859 7769	
23570	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP			LASTRA, DANIEL		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 41 SOUTH HIGH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
28TH FLOOR			3622		
COLUMBUS, OH 43215			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8
v

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/687,846	ESQUE, CHER			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the policy of the plant is a second control of the policy of	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	anuary 2005.				
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderating of the lideration of by the lideration of the drawing of the lideration	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-15 and 17-20 have been examined. Application 09/687,846 (SOFTWARE AND METHOD FOR MARKETING ARTISTS) has a filing date 10/13/2000.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 07/29/04, the Applicant amended claims 1, 3-12, 14, 15, 17-20 and cancel claims 2 and 16. Applicant amendment overcame the previous claim objections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leeke et al (U.S. 6,587,127).

As per claim 1, Leeke teaches:

A software product for marketing, the software product comprising:

a computer program operational when executed by a computer processor to direct the computer processor to generate a main navigational window viewable on a computer display and having a plurality of *links*, the plurality of *links* including a marketed entities link and an avenues link (see column 5, lines 25-31; column 7, line 63

Art Unit: 3622

column 8, line 16; column 9, lines 17-25; figures 2, 8, 12, 13 20, 22-27; column 32,line 63 – column 33, line 9);

wherein the computer program is operational when executed by the computer processor upon activation of the plurality of *links* to direct the computer processor to generate additional windows viewable on the computer display (see column 5, lines 25-31; column 7, line 63 – column 8, line 16; column 9, lines 17-25; figures 2, 8, 12, 13 20, 22-27)

wherein the *marketed entities link* opens a *marketed entities* window having data fields for entering and viewing *contact data* relating to particular *marketed entities* and the avenues *link* opens an avenues window having data fields for entering and viewing *contact data* relating to different avenues of marketing and a computer program storage medium operational to store the computer program (see column 5, lines 25-31; column 7, line 63 – column 8, line 16; column 9, lines 17-25; figures 2, 8, 12, 13 20, 22-27; column 32, line 63 – column 33, line 9);

wherein the plurality of links includes an events link and the event link opens an events window having data fields for entering and viewing contact data relating to particular events (see column 32, line 63 – column 33, line 9; figure 2, item 245);

wherein the avenues window provides access to data fields for entering and viewing contact data relating to radio, retail, media, and venues (see figures 2, 3, 8, 12 and 13);

wherein the data fields are linked by unique identifiers to form a relational database so that particular events are linked to the contact data for the particular

marketed entities and the contact data for the particular avenues of marketing (see column 32, line 63 – column 33, line 9).

Leeke does not expressly teach wherein the contact data relating to the particular marketed entities, the different avenues of marketing, and the particular events each include a name, a postal address, a phone number, and an email address. However, Leeke teaches a system where users rate marketed entities and provide feedback messages to said marketed entities via e-mail (see column 7, lines 17-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that marketed entities, such as artists would make available their contact information (i.e., name, phone numbers, e-mail and/or addresses) to users, so said users provide said marketed entities with feedback about said marketed entities' products. This way marketed entities would have suggestions from users about how to improve said marketed entities' products.

As per claim 3, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the avenues window includes a radio *link* for opening a radio window having data fields for entering and viewing contact data relating to a radio station (see figure 2), a retail *link* for opening a retail window having data fields for entering and viewing contact data relating to a retail outlet (see figure 50), a media *link* for opening a media window having data fields for entering and viewing contact data relating to media (see figure 52-56), and a venue *link* for opening a venue window having data fields for entering and viewing contact data

relating to a venue (see figure 13; column 5, lines 25-31; column 7, line 63 – column 8, line 16; column 9, lines 17-25).

As per claim 4, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the *marketed entities* window provides access to data fields for entering and viewing *data* relating to a particular *marketed entity*, members associated with the particular *marketed entity*, and products associated with the particular *marketed entity* (see figures 20-21; 25-27; column 10, lines 5-15).

As per claim 8, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the *marketed entities* window includes a marketed entities tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to a particular marketed entity, a members tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to members associated with the particular marketed entity, and a products tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to products associated with a particular marketed entity (see figures 49-50).

As per claim 12, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the particular marketed entities are particular artists and data fields are provided to store submissions of an artist by name and format (see column 13, lines 13-21; figures 20-21; column 32, lines 47-62).

As per claim 13, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 12, wherein the format is one of a plurality of predetermined formats (see column 13, lines 13-21).

As per claims 14 and 15, Leeke teaches

The software product according to claim 12, wherein stored data can be moved between active and archived status and data in archived status can be retrieved by at least one of format, the marketed entities, and the contact data (see column 1, lines 14-20).

As per claim 17, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the events window includes data fields for entering and viewing an event type for each particular event and the event type is one of a plurality of predetermined event types (see figures 13-14; column 16, lines 1-25).

As per claim 18, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the events window categorizes event data according to avenues of marketing including radio, retail, media, and venue (see figures 3, 8, 12, 13).

As per claim 19, Leeke teaches:

The software product according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of *links* includes a search *links* and the search *link* opens a search window having data fields for entering and *storing data* relating to parameters of a search of stored data and the data fields of the search window are adjusted according to a selected avenue of marketing including radio, retail, media, and venue (see figures 22, 23).

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 5-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leeke et al (U.S. 6,587,127) in view of White et al (U.S. 6,628,302).

As per claim 5, Leeke teaches the software product according to claim 4, but fails to teach wherein the particular marketed entities includes a particular artist and the marketed entities window provides access to data fields for entering and viewing data relating to tour dates associated with the particular artist, and an itinerary associated with the particular artist. White teaches a system that presents information related to the selection of particular artists, such as artist's biography, critic reviews, listing of other recording of the same selection by different artists, the artist's upcoming concert schedule, etc (see column 7, lines 1-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Leeke would present upon user's selection, the artist's upcoming schedule, as taught by White. This feature would help users know the artist's schedule and have the possibility of seeing the artist performs live.

As per claim 6, Leeke and White teach the software product according to claim 5, wherein the *marketed entities* window provides access to data fields for entering and viewing *data* relating to biographical information associated with the particular artist, and affiliations associated with the particular artist. White teaches a system that presents information related to the selection of particular artists, such as artist biography, critic reviews, listing of other recording of the same selection by different artists, the artist's upcoming concert schedule, etc (see column 7, lines 1-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was

made, to know that Leeke would present upon user's selection, the artist's biography and related affiliations, as taught by White. This feature would help users obtain more personal information about their favorite artists.

As per claim 7, Leeke and White teach the software product according to claim 5, wherein the *marketed entities* window provides access to data fields for entering and viewing *data* relating to notes associated with the particular artist (see column 21, lines 1-16).

As per claims 9 and 20, Leeke teaches the software product according to claim 8, but fails to teach wherein the particular marketed entity is a particular artist and the marketed entities window includes a tour dates tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to tour dates associated with the particular artist, and an itinerary tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to an itinerary associated with the particular artist. However, the same rejection applied to claim 5 is applied to claim 9.

Claim 10, Leeke and White teach the software product according to claim 9, wherein the *marketed entities* window includes a biography tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing *data* relating to a biography associated with the particular artist, and an affiliations tab for opening a window layer having data fields for entering and viewing *data* relating to affiliations associated with the particular artist. See rejection of claim 6.

As per claim 11, Leeke and White teach the software product according to claim 9, wherein the *marketed entity* window includes a notes tab for opening a window layer

•

having data fields for entering and viewing data relating to notes associated with the particular artist (see column 21, lines 1-16).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-15 and 17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Applicant argues that the content player of Leeke does not include contact data and cannot include this necessary private contact data because the content player is accessed by the public. The Applicant further argues that such a public content player would never be provided with private contact data in this manner and thus could never be utilized to perform the function which is performed by the present invention.

The Examiner answers that the Applicant is arguing about features that are not stated in the claims and/or in the Applicant's specification. Nowhere, in the claims or in the specification is mentioned that the Applicant's contact data is a private contact data. which is private to certain users. The claim recites "wherein the contact data relating to the particular marketed entities, the different avenues or marketing, and the particular events each includes a name, a postal address, a phone number, and an email address". The claim does not recite that such information is private and can only be accessed by certain users or that said contact information is not allowed to be accessed by any user.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3622

ì

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC W STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The right fax number of the Examiner is 571-273-6720.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra

April 25, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER